Exhibit 23

To the Storey County Commissioners and the Storey County Assistant District Attorney,

Several months ago there were some nuisance complaints filed about the towers on the Taomina property.

We have a petition with about 100 signatures stating we want the towers taken downs because they destroy the natural beauty of the area and are in violation of several county statutes. As of this date, we have not heard of any action being taken on these nuisance complaints.

Also, in spite of the ADA's letter to Taormina telling him to NOT do any maintenance on the towers, building inspector Haymore ignored our reports of a man working on the towers.

I am formally requesting permission to address the commissioners on this matter at their next meeting.

Storey County Code Ch. 17.88 Enforcement Section 17.88.010 is very clear in stating that public nuisances can be dealt with by the District Attorneys office to remove said nuisance. It also mentions preventing anyone from maintaining said structure. Storey County Code 17.88 Enforcement Section 17.88.020 Penalty

Says anyone guilty of violating this provision is guilty of a misdemeanor and can be jailed and fined.

Storey County Code Chapter 15.08 Building Official Duties generally

states that if the stop work order is not honored, and it hasn't been, that the building official has all law enforcement authority to enforce said order.

NRS 244.360 Abatement of nuisances: Complaint: notice; hearing; order; enforcement of order; costs; alternative procedures states that this has to be dealt with in 30 to 40 days.

I will provide copies of all of these statutes and codes for all the commissioners and the ADA as they go on and get very specific about dealing with a nuisance complaint.

I don't mean to be a pain in the butt, but this has gone on way too long and we want the towers taken down legally.

Thank you,

Exhibit 24

January 9th, 2009

To the County Recorder, the county commissioners, the county manager and ADA Laura Grant:

I am filing this nuisance complaint based on several sections of the NRS and Storey County Codes.

I'm enclosing copies of these statutes and codes along with a copy of a petition with over 100 signatures of Highlands's residents who think the radio towers are eyesores and want them taken down.

NRS 244.360 Abatement of nuisances: Complaint; notice; hearing; order; enforcement of order; costs; alternative procedures.

It states in part that the county clerk must inform the county commissioners who shall set a date to hear the proof of the complaint within 30 to 40 days.

It goes on in great detail, that's why I enclosed a copy of the entire statute. Obviously I would like this dealt with as soon as the law allows.

NRS 40.140 Nuisance defined; action for abatement and damages; exceptions.

Section 1. (a)Anything which is injurious to health, or indecent and offensive to the senses, or an obstruction to the free use of property, so as to interfere with the comfortable enjoyment of life or property.

Emphasis added

Section (E) is a nuisance and subject of an action. The action may be brought by the person whose property is injuriously affected, or whose personal enjoyment is lessened by the nuisance and by the enjoinment abated, as well as damages recovered.

Storey County Code 17.88 Section No (17.88.010) says the district attorneys shall, upon the finding that the property in question is unlawful and a nuisance to get the structure(s) removed.

The next section .20 allows for imprisonment and a \$1,000 fine for not removing the structures. (EACH DAY BEING CONSIDERED A SEPARATE VIOLATION)

Thank you,

Exhibit 25

From: Shannon Gardner <sgardner@storeycounty.org> **To:** Dean Haymore <dhaymore@storeycounty.org>

Cc: adkinsgrade@yahoo.com; gantinoro@storeycounty.org; Pat Whitten <pwhitten@storeycounty.org>; Austin Osborne <aosborne@storeycounty.org>; Stacey Bucchianeri <sbucchianeri@storeycounty.org>

Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2009 10:36:49 AM **Subject:** RE: Radio Tower "Maintenance"

To all concerned;

On Tuesday July 28, 2009 @ approx 2:30 p.m., I visited Tom Taormina's property to investigate the latest claims by concerned neighbors that he has crossed the property lines during recent maintenance work on his existing towers.

The property lines have been re-surveyed within the last year or so, and are clearly marked and identifiable. At the boundary line of lot 41, I did not find any evidence that equipment has been operated across the property line, and did not find any evidence of damage to trees or other land features of lot 41. At the boundary line with lot 38, I found evidence that a trench had been dug for an underground cable very close to the property line. It appeared that during backfill of the trench, a Bobcat style tractor may have encroached slightly on lot 38. The area has been graded and raked, and it did not appear that there had been any damage caused to any trees or land features on lot 38. At the boundary line of lot 36, I did not find any evidence that equipment has been recently operated across the property line, and did not find any evidence of damage to trees or other land features of lot 36.

In direct response to questions posted by Michelle Adkins:

- 1. Mr. Taormina is allowed to work on existing towers on his property. One tower has cracked welds in the top section. The section is approximately 8' long, and will be removed and replaced with a new section. This work will require the use of a crane, which is reportedly scheduled for Thursday July 30, unless thunder storms are likely. Some antennae on existing towers are damaged or have blown down from winds. Damaged antennae will be replaced with new antennae. I am told by Mr. Taormina that new antennae will be of higher quality to withstand our wind speeds. Removal and replacement of antennae will require the use of a crane. Some of the guy wires on existing towers are also being up-graded to heavier duty cable. Damaged antennae cables are also being replaced between existing towers and Tom's radio room. I am also told by Mr. Taormina that one of the existing towers has been sold and will be re-located to the new owner's property.
- 2. Mr. Taormina at this time does not have a Permit to construct or erect any new towers. I did not find any evidence that work is being performed to construct or erect any new towers.
- 3. Mr. Taormina did show me where he has had a dead pinion pine cut down. The location of the tree stump is well within the survey marked property boundaries of his lot.

Shannon Gardner
Storey County Building Inspector
110 Toll Rd
P.O. Box 526
Virginia City, NV 89440
Office = 775-847-0966
Cell = 742-1960

From: Dean Haymore [mailto:dhaymore@storeycounty.org]

Sent: Wednesday, July 29, 2009 9:39 AM

To: Shannon Gardner

Subject: FW: Radio Tower "Maintenance"



Dean Haymore, Director STOREY COUNTY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT (775) 847-0966 c. (775) 742-8226

Storey County is an Equal Opportunity Provider.

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. If you are not the intended recipient you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.

From: Greg Adkins [mailto:adkinsgrade@yahoo.com]

Sent: Sunday, July 26, 2009 5:42 PM

To: gerald_antinoro

Cc: Pat Whitten; Dean Haymore Subject: Radio Tower "Maintenance"

Hello Mr. Antinoro,

I am one of many concerned neighbor's of Mr. Taormina. I have a few questions that I would like some clarification on in regards to the tower "maintenance" being allowed on Mr Taormina's lot.

- 1.) Is Mr. Taormina allowed to alter or re-locate any of the existing towers?
- 2.) Is Mr. Taormina allowed to construct and erect any new towers?
- 3.) Mr. Taormina has had some trees cut down and it appears that he has crossed the boundry/property line once again. The owner of lot #41 shares the common rear boundary line with Mr. Taormina. This owner is not local and is not here to defend his property. To Taormina 's benefit, he has never been required to have this line surveyed and apparently continues to cross the line. Given the circumstances and for the protection of this citizens property, would somebody look into the location of Mr. Taormina's rear (south) property line? I would like to thank you for hearing my concerns.

Regards, Michelle Adkins 847-7097